

Dealing with Uncertain Arrival Rates in Resource Allocation

With a discussion on criteria in scheduling



Introduction to scheduling

- Cellular downlink scenario
- Wish to allocate transmission channels to users so as to satisfy their individual service preferences
- Each user has a buffer at the BS in which data arrive with unknown rates





Traffic prediction and adaptation

- In theory, better performance can be obtained by scheduling over several time slots
 - Particularly with QoS constraints
- Requires channel prediction over longer periods
 - Should average criterion over pdf for channel to account for higher uncertainty
- Requires prediction of arrival rates
 - "Always data to send" unrealistic assumption



Scheduling under uncertainty – criteria

- Minimize the expected total buffer contents after the scheduled horizon
 – Gives maximum expected throughput
- Use constraints to satisfy delay or rate requirements

$$\langle L \rangle = \sum_{u=1}^{U} \sum_{n_u=0}^{\infty} \sum_{x_{ut}=0}^{\infty} p(n_u | I) p(x_{ut} | I) g\left(S_u + n_u - \sum_{t=1}^{T} x_{ut} \right)$$

g(x) = x if x > 0, otherwise g(x) = 0



But is sum throughput a good criterion?

- If it weren't for unfairness, we might be tempted to say yes.
- But what if:
- user 1, average throughput=10, but can send 100 the next slot,
- user 2, averages 1000, can send 500 the next slot.

Who'd you prioritize?



Performance is relative!

- D. Bernoulli (1738) noted that in human society, the utility resulting from a small increase in wealth seems to be proportional to the amount already possessed
 - uniquely determines a logarithmic criterion (which is what prop. fair uses)





Another reasonable criterion?

- Queue stability is sometimes advanced as an important property
 - An algorithm which empties all buffers whenever possible is called stable
 - Algorihtms with this property are also called "throughput optimal"
- Several variants exist, e.g. M-LWDF – $\max c_u S_u^k$ Arbitrary Transmission rate \bigwedge constant

Buffer size



Another reasonable criterion?

- M-LWDF is *ad hoc* but approximates a minimization of the buffer levels squared.
 - Does not maximize throughput!
- When possible, it empties buffers but otherwise it can be disastrous
 - A user flooding its buffer will get all resources
- PF is not "stable"!
 - ...but should we care???



What about delays and fairness? More opinions...

Neither PF nor max expected throughput is optimal in terms of fairness or delays

- Fairness is not a fundamental criterion to maximize
 - Communication systems should transfer information. Fairness is just a constraint.
- How to compromise between delay and throughput?
 - Open question, DEEA is an alternative
 - Trade-off depends on application (traffic class)



Traffic prediction 1: Maximum entropy approach

- We wish to determine p(n|I) given some information I
- If we keep record of average arrival rates <n>, what is the best inference concerning n we can do?
- Use Maximum Entropy Principle to assign a probability distribution for n
 - n positive with known mean \Rightarrow Exponential distribution for n
 - ME distribution is least biased possible



Traffic prediction 2: Adaptive inference

- We wish to determine p(n|I) given
 I = past arrival statistics
 and learn patterns adaptively
- Imagine using histograms
 - Too few observations in comparison to possible inflow sizes
- Instead, partition the inflow-axis into a number of 'bins'
 - Count arrivals within each bin
 - Adapt the bin size to obtain high resolution at intervals of high intensity and lower elsewhere



Traffic prediction – Bin probabilities



Using K bins and letting

- $-m_k = past number of arrivals of size within bin k$
- M = total number of observations

we have (after some calculations...) $p(n \in bin \ k \mid m_k, M, I) = \frac{m_k + 1}{M + K}$



Traffic prediction – Adaptation

- Based on the bin probabilities, how do we adapt the bin positions and sizes?
 - Wish to have a quantized distribution which is as close to the exact distribution as possible.
- Formally, we wish to maximize the mutual information between the two distributions

Theorem:

Maximizing the mutual information is equivalent to maximizing the entropy of the bin probability distribution.



Traffic prediction – Adaptation

Proof:

$$I(k,n) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{n=n_{min}}^{n_{max}} p(nk) \log \frac{p(nk)}{p(n)p(k)}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{n=n_{min}}^{n_{max}} p(nk) \log \frac{p(k \mid n)}{p(k)}$$
$$= -\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{n \in \text{bin } k} p(n \mid k)p(k) \log p(k)$$
$$= -\sum_{k=1}^{K} p(k) \log p(k)$$



Traffic prediction

- The optimum bin partition is adapted according to the M most recent arrivals:
 - Assume a uniform probability distribution within each bin,
 p(n) = bin probability / bin width
 - Redistribute the bins so that each bin has equal probability mass (=max entropy)
 - Approximate low-complexity solution requires single sweep over the possible arrival sizes.



Aside: Generalization to multi-dimensional case

- Interestingly, the approach generalizes to the multi-dimensional case with unknown time dependencies
- Possible to adaptively infer dependencies across time and variables
- A form of optimal self-organizing vector quantization can be achieved!



Example – Simulation set-up

- K = 6 bins,
- M = 100 observations between bin updates
- Min arrival rate=0, Max=100 [bit/time unit]
- Arrivals generated as
 - 50% 1-bit packets,
 - 50% 7-bit packets
 - (switching between 2 fixed rates with equal frequency)



Traffic prediction – Results





Summing up

- Presented two means for managing arrival rate uncertainty
 - Max Ent less computations
 - Self-organizing histogram flexibility
- The problem in comparing different means of dealing with uncertain rates is that of obtaining meaningful traffic traces