

## Nilo Casimiro Ericsson, Signals and Systems, Uppsala University

# TGP/IP and Fading



The varying channel quality leads pendently, so a mechanism that

# Buffers and a Scheduler

#### From wired network



Arranging the traffic flows into different queues, and letting a scheduler handle the draining of the queues, based on

Predicted channel quality

Priority of the traffic flow



could be a solution for such a mechanism.

In this paper we address the performance of the scheduler

## 3 scheduling algorithms have been implemented and compared



For each time-slot, give it to the user that:

is in shortage

has the highest predicted throughput

st round: Give each time-slot to the user that: has the highest

Robin Hood

predicted throughput

#### And round:

Re-distribute by taking from the rich (over-allocated), and giving to the poor, until either no more rich or no more poor users remain.

Descent st round: Give each time-slot to the user that: has the highest predicted throughput

Controled Steepest

### 2nd round:

Maximize user satisfaction by reducing the difference between allocated and desired resources in a steepest descent fashion.

Wish to find the performance of the different scheduling algorithms in terms of

Resulting throughput

Schedule fairness

Computational delay

The transmission simulations have been omitted to save time (no BER)

The traffic demand is slightly higher than the provided throughput

## Simulation results



## CONCUSIONS

## Further work, plans

Provision of fairness seems to be done at the cost of reduced throughput

An analytical solution to the Lagrange formulation given in the paper would be interesting to investigate.

Fairness is also given at the cost of higher computational complexity, at least when only taking these three algorithms into account

Moreover, a complete testbed to measure the effects of fading, scheduling, and TCP enhancements on real TCP traffic will be developed within the WIP project.