## Exercises chapter 4

- 1. Make a short program to generate figure 4.1 on page 103. Try out a number of sets of propositions with alternative error frequencies, i.e, A="a% defective", B="b% defective" and C = "c% defective" with some suitable values for a, b, and c. Interpret your plots.
- 2. Consider the two hypotheses
  - A = "we have  $f_A = 1/6$  defective widgets."
  - B = "we have at least  $f_B$  defective widgets".

Note that B can be considered as a logical sum of a large number of propositions  $B = B_1 + B_2 + ...$ that are mutually exclusive. The proposition  $B_i$  is then "the fraction f of bad widgets lies in the interval  $(f_i, f_i + df)$ ".

For the two cases in (a) and (b) below, plot the evidences e(A|DX) and e(B|DX) (as functions of m) where D stands for "m widgets were tested and all were found defective". Use the priors

$$P(A|X) = \frac{10}{11} \qquad P(B|X) = \frac{1}{11} \tag{1}$$

- (a)  $f_B = 1/4$
- (b)  $f_B = 1/2$ . Note that we here have, to some small extent, overlapping propositions. Do you need to make adjustments in your derived formulas for this?
- 3. Consider again the widget scenario. Derive an expression for, and write a function for calculating the probability  $P(m|n, f \in [f_1, f_2], X)$  where m is shorthand for "m widgets were found defective" and n is shorthand for "n widgets were drawn". Assume a uniform prior distribution for f in the interval. Use this function to calculate the evidences for the three hypotheses
  - $A = "f \in [0, f_a]"$
  - $B = "f \in (f_a, f_b]"$
  - $C = "f \in (f_b, 1]"$

Choose, for instance,  $f_a = 0.1$  and  $f_b = 0.3$ . Then choose some  $f_{true}$  to be the true value of f. Preferably, choose  $f_{true} = 1/N_{true}$  with  $N_{true}$  being some integer. For this f you will on the average see one bad widget every  $N_{true}$ 'th draw. Let D stand for " $n = m \times N_{true}$  widgets were drawn, mwere found defective." Plot the evidences e(A|DX), e(B|DX), and e(C|DX), as functions of m. Specify what priors P(A|X), P(B|X), and P(C|X) you have used.

Hint 1: You may find the matlab functions betain and beta useful when writing the code. Hint 2: To check that your code is correct, you can choose a very small interval  $[f_1, f_1 + \Delta f]$  and compare  $P(m|n, f \in [f_1, f_1 + \Delta f], X)$  with  $P(m|n, f = f_1, X)$ . These probabilities should be approximately equal.

Hint 3: You can find a numerically stable implementation of the binomial coefficient on the course home page. Although the coefficients will cancel when you calculate the evidences you might want to have a correct implementation of the function calculating the probability  $P(m|n, f \in [f_1, f_2], X)$ anyway.